

MEMBERS
LOUANN VAN DER WIELE
CHAIRPERSON
LAWRENCE G. CAMPBELL
VICE-CHAIRPERSON
DULCE M. FULLER
SECRETARY
ROSALIND E. GRIFFIN, M.D.
MICHAEL MURRAY
JAMES A. FINK
JOHN W. INHULSEN
JONATHAN E. LAUDERBACH
BARBARA WILLIAMS FORNEY

STATE OF MICHIGAN
ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD



211 WEST FORT STREET, SUITE 1410
DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226-3236
PHONE: 313-963-5553 | FAX: 313-963-5571

MARK A. ARMITAGE
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
—
WENDY A. NEELEY
DEPUTY DIRECTOR
—
SHERRY L. MIFSUD
OFFICE ADMINISTRATOR
—
JENNIFER M. PETTY
PARALEGAL
—
ALLYSON M. PLOURDE
CASE MANAGER
—
JULIE M. LOISELLE
RECEPTIONIST/SECRETARY
—

www.adbmich.org

NOTICE OF REPRIMAND WITH CONDITIONS
(By Consent)

Case No. 15-143-GA

Notice Issued: April 29, 2016

Christine M. Brzezinski, P 35405, Grayling, Michigan, by the Attorney Discipline Board Grand Traverse Hearing Panel #2.

1. Reprimand
2. Effective April 28, 2016

The respondent and the Grievance Administrator filed a stipulation for a consent order of discipline, in accordance with MCR 9.115(F)(5), which was approved by the Attorney Grievance Commission and accepted by the hearing panel. Based upon respondent's pleas and admissions, and the stipulation of the parties, the panel found that respondent held funds other than client or third person funds in an IOLTA, in violation of MRPC 1.15(a)(3); engaged in conduct in violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct, in violation of MRPC 8.4(a); engaged in conduct that exposed the legal profession or the courts to obloquy, contempt, censure, or reproach, in violation of MCR 9.104(2); and engaged in conduct that is contrary to justice, ethics, honesty, or good morals, in violation of MCR 9.104(3).

In finding misconduct, the panel acknowledges paragraph six of the stipulation filed March 1, 2016, which states:

6. The parties further agree that during the period in question, no client trust check was dishonored, nor were any funds received on behalf of a client ever withheld from a client.

In accordance with the stipulation of the parties, the hearing panel ordered that respondent be reprimanded and subject to conditions relevant to the established misconduct. Costs were assessed in the amount of \$811.51.

Mark A. Armitage

Dated: APR 29 2016