


MEMBERS
LAWRENCE G. CAMPBELL
VICE-CHAIRPERSON
DULCE M. FULLER
SECRETARY
ROSALIND E. GRIFFIN, M.D.
LOUANN VAN DER WIELE
MICHAEL MURRAY
JAMES A. FINK
JOHN W. INHULSEN

STATE OF MICHIGAN
ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD



211 WEST FORT STREET, SUITE 1410
DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226-3236
PHONE: 313-963-5553 | FAX: 313-963-5571

MARK A. ARMITAGE
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
WENDY A. NEELEY
DEPUTY DIRECTOR
SHERRY L. MIFSUD
OFFICE ADMINISTRATOR
JENNIFER M. PETTY
PARALEGAL
KATHLEEN PHILLIPS
CASE MANAGER
ALLYSON M. PLOURDE
CASE MANAGER
JULIE M. LOISELLE
RECEPTIONIST
www.adbmich.org

NOTICE OF REPRIMAND
(By Consent)

Case No. 15-57-GA

Notice Issued: November 23, 2015

Charles Todd Inniss, P 61124, Detroit, Michigan, by the Attorney Discipline Board Tri-County Hearing Panel #4.

1. Reprimand
2. Effective November 21, 2015

The respondent and the Grievance Administrator filed a stipulation for a consent order of discipline, in accordance with MCR 9.115(F)(5), which was approved by the Attorney Grievance Commission and accepted by the hearing panel. The stipulation contained respondent's admissions that he had committed acts of professional misconduct by engaging in conduct that was contrary to the standards to which lawyers are held when he engaged in an altercation at his son's basketball game. Based on the stipulation of the parties, the panel found that respondent engaged in conduct that was in violation of the Michigan Rules of Professional Conduct, in violation of MRPC 8.4(a) and MCR 9.104(4); engaged in conduct that exposed the legal profession or the courts to obloquy, contempt, censure, or reproach, in violation of MCR 9.104(2); engaged in conduct that was contrary to good morals, in violation of MCR 9.104(3); engaged in conduct that violated a criminal law of a state, in violation of MCR 9.104(5); and, engaged in conduct involving violation of the criminal law, where such conduct reflects adversely on the respondent's fitness as a lawyer, in violation of MRPC 8.4(b).

In accordance with the stipulation of the parties, the hearing panel ordered that respondent be reprimanded. Costs were assessed in the amount of \$820.90.

Mark A. Armitage

Dated: **NOV 23 2015**