


MEMBERS
JAMES M. CAMERON, JR.
CHAIRPERSON
LAWRENCE G. CAMPBELL
VICE-CHAIRPERSON
DULCE M. FULLER
SECRETARY
ROSALIND E. GRIFFIN, M.D.
SYLVIA P. WHITMER, Ph.D
LOUANN VAN DER WIELE
MICHAEL MURRAY
JAMES A. FINK
JOHN W. INHULSEN

STATE OF MICHIGAN
ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD



211 WEST FORT STREET, SUITE 1410
DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226-3236
PHONE: 313-963-5553 | FAX: 313-963-5571

MARK A. ARMITAGE
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
WENDY A. NEELEY
DEPUTY DIRECTOR
SHERRY L. MIFSUD
OFFICE ADMINISTRATOR
JENNIFER M. PETTY
PARALEGAL
KATHLEEN PHILLIPS
CASE MANAGER
ALLYSON M. PLOURDE
CASE MANAGER
JULIE M. LOISELLE
RECEPTIONIST
www.adbmich.org

NOTICE OF REPRIMAND WITH CONDITIONS
(By Consent)

Case No. 15-38-GA

Notice Issued: August 28, 2015

Patricia Anderson-Green, P 62772, Grosse Pointe Park, Michigan, by the Attorney Discipline Board Tri-County Hearing Panel #9.

1. Reprimand
2. Effective August 27, 2015

The respondent and the Grievance Administrator filed a stipulation for a consent order of discipline, in accordance with MCR 9.115(F)(5), which was approved by the Attorney Grievance Commission and accepted by the hearing panel.

Based on respondent's admissions, the panel found that respondent handled a legal matter which she knew or should have known she was not competent to handle, without associating with a lawyer who was competent to handle the matter, in violation of MRPC 1.1(a); handled a legal matter without preparation adequate in the circumstances, in violation of MRPC 1.1(b); neglected a legal matter, in violation of MRPC 1.1(c); failed to act with reasonable diligence and promptness, in violation of MRPC 1.3; failed to keep her client reasonably informed about the status of a matter, in violation of MRPC 1.4(a); engaged in conduct that exposes the legal profession or the courts to obloquy, contempt, censure, or reproach, in violation of MCR 9.104(2); engaged in conduct that is contrary to ethics, in violation of MCR 9.104(3); and, engaged in conduct that is a violation of the Michigan Rules of Professional Conduct, contrary to MRPC 8.4(a) and MCR 9.104(4).

In accordance with the stipulation of the parties, the hearing panel ordered that respondent be reprimanded and be subject to conditions relevant to the admitted misconduct. Costs were assessed in the amount of \$1,055.89.


Mark A. Armitage

AUG 28 2015
Dated: _____