MEMBERS JAMES M. CAMERON, JR. CHAIRPERSON LAWRENCE G. CAMPBELL VICE-CHAIRPERSON DULCE M. FULLER SECRETARY ROSALIND E. GRIFFIN, M.D. SYLVIA P. WHITMER, Ph.D LOUANN VAN DER WIELE MICHAEL MURRAY JAMES A. FINK JOHN W. INHULSEN

STATE OF MICHIGAN ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD



211 WEST FORT STREET, SUITE 1410 DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226-3236 PHONE: 313-963-5553 | FAX: 313-963-5571 MARK A. ARMITAGE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR WENDY A. NEELEY DEPUTY DIRECTOR SHERRY L. MIFSUD OFFICE ADMINISTRATOR JENNIFER M. PETTY PARALEGAL KATHLEEN PHILLIPS CASE MANAGER ALLYSON M. PLOURDE CASE MANAGER JULIE M. LOISELLE RECEPTIONIST www.adbmich.org

NOTICE OF SUSPENSION WITH CONDITIONS (By Consent)

Case No. 15-69-JC; 15-70-GA

Notice Issued: August 21, 2015

Terence G. Hoerman, P 48685, Detroit, Michigan, by the Attorney Discipline Board Tri-County Hearing Panel #28.

- 1. Suspension 60 Days
- 2. Effective July 15, 2015

The respondent and the Grievance Administrator filed a stipulation for a consent order of discipline, in accordance with MCR 9.115(F)(5), which was approved by the Attorney Grievance Commission and accepted by the hearing panel. Based on respondent's admissions, he was convicted in the Municipal Court for the City of Grosse Pointe Farms for operating while impaired, a misdemeanor, in violation of MCL 257.6253-A. The panel found that respondent's conduct violated the criminal law of the State of Michigan, contrary to MCR 9.104(5). Additionally, respondent admitted that he made negligent representations to the court's probation department, during the pendency of the criminal matter, concerning whether he previously attended counseling and failed to correct his erroneous statements by the time that the criminal proceeding concluded. The panel found that respondent's conduct violated the standards or rules of professional responsibility adopted by the Supreme Court, contrary to MCR 9.104(4); engaged in conduct prejudicial to the proper administration of justice, in violation of MCR 9.104(1) and MRPC 8.4(c); violated or attempted to violate the Rules of Professional Conduct, contrary to MRPC 8.4(a); and engaged in conduct involving misrepresentation by failing to correct erroneous information provided to the Court's probation department, where such conduct reflects adversely on the lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness, or fitness as a lawyer, in violation of MRPC 8.4(b).

In accordance with the stipulation of the parties, the hearing panel ordered that respondent's license to practice law in Michigan be suspended for 60 days, effective July 15, 2015 (as stipulated by the parties). The panel also ordered that respondent be subject to conditions relevant to the established misconduct. Costs were assessed in the amount of \$922.48.

2 1 2015

Dated: