

MEMBERS  
JAMES M. CAMERON, JR.  
CHAIRPERSON  
LAWRENCE G. CAMPBELL  
VICE-CHAIRPERSON  
SYLVIA P. WHITMER, Ph.D.  
SECRETARY  
ROSALIND E. GRIFFIN, M.D.  
DULCE M. FULLER  
LOUANN VAN DER WIELE  
MICHAEL MURRAY  
JAMES A. FINK  
JOHN W. INHULSEN

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD



211 WEST FORT STREET, SUITE 1410  
DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226-3236  
PHONE: 313-963-5553 | FAX: 313-963-5571

MARK A. ARMITAGE  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR  
WENDY A. NEELEY  
DEPUTY DIRECTOR  
SHERRY L. MIFSUD  
OFFICE ADMINISTRATOR  
JENNIFER M. PETTY  
PARALEGAL  
KATHLEEN PHILLIPS  
CASE MANAGER  
ALLYSON M. PLOURDE  
CASE MANAGER  
JULIE M. LOISELLE  
RECEPTIONIST  
www.adbmich.org

**FINAL NOTICE OF SUSPENSION WITH CONDITION**

Case No. 10-140-GA

**Notice Issued: October 16, 2014**

Gregory J. Reed, P 24760, Detroit, Michigan, by the Attorney Discipline Board Tri-County Hearing Panel #80.

1. Suspension - 90 Days
2. Effective October 15, 2014

Respondent filed an answer to the formal complaint and appeared at the hearings. Based on the evidence submitted, the panel found that respondent failed to seek the lawful objectives of his client through reasonably available means permitted by law, in violation of MRPC 1.2(a); brought or defended a proceeding or asserted or controverted an issue without basis for doing so that is not frivolous, in violation of MRPC 3.1; failed to make reasonable efforts to expedite litigation consistent with the interests of his client, in violation of MRPC 3.2; and failed to report another attorney's misconduct to the Michigan Attorney Grievance Commission, in violation of MRPC 8.3(a). The panel also found that respondent violated MCR 9.104(1), (2) and (4), and MRPC 8.4(a) and (c).

The hearing panel ordered that respondent's license to practice law in Michigan be suspended for 90 days and that he be subject to a condition relevant to the established misconduct. Respondent filed a petition for review with a request for stay of discipline. The Grievance Administrator filed a cross-petition for review and, on June 26, 2013, the Board issued an order granting respondent's request for a stay of discipline and assigned the matter for hearing before the Attorney Discipline Board.

Upon review, the Attorney Discipline Board issued its opinion and order in which it affirmed the hearing panel's order of suspension with condition, but did not find that respondent had violated MRPC 8.3(a). Total costs were assessed in the amount of \$4,647.63.

Mark A. Armitage

**OCT 16 2014**

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_