MEMBERS JONATHAN E. LAUDERBACH CHAIRPERSON MICHAEL B. RIZIK, JR.

VICE-CHAIRPERSON

BARBARA WILLIAMS FORNEY SECRETARY

KAREN D. O'DONOGHUE LINDA S. HOTCHKISS, MD MICHAEL S. HOHAUSER **PETER A. SMIT ALAN GERSHEL** LINDA M. ORLANS

STATE OF MICHIGAN

ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD



333 WEST FORT STREET, SUITE 1700 DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226-3147 PHONE: 313-963-5553

www.adbmich.org

MARK A. ARMITAGE

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

WENDY A. NEELEY

DEPUTY DIRECTOR

KAREN M. DALEY

ASSOCIATE COUNSEL

SHERRY MIFSUD

OFFICE ADMINISTRATOR

ALLYSON M. PLOURDE

CASE MANAGER

OWEN R. MONTGOMERY

CASE MANAGER

JULIE M. LOISELLE RECEPTIONIST/SECRETARY

NOTICE OF REPRIMAND (By Consent)

Case No. 21-33-GA

Notice Issued: August 10, 2021

Brian M. Norback, P 60501, Crestview, Florida, by the Attorney Discipline Board Tri-County Hearing Panel #27

Reprimand, Effective August 10, 2021

Respondent and the Grievance Administrator filed a Stipulation for Consent Order of Discipline, in accordance with MCR 9.115(F)(5), which was approved by the Attorney Grievance Commission and accepted by the hearing panel. Based upon respondent's admissions and the stipulation of the parties, the panel found that respondent committed professional misconduct when he pushed another driver during a traffic dispute and later pled no contest to battery, a misdemeanor, in contravention of Section 784.03(a) or 784.03(1)(a)(2), Florida Statutes (M-1) in State of Florida v Brian Norback, Okaloosa County 1st Circuit Court, Case Number 2017-MM-002545-C. While respondent was sentenced to six months of probation and anger management counseling, the court withheld adjudication of the charge, and after respondent successfully completed probation no conviction was entered.

Specifically, and in accordance with the parties' stipulation, the panel found that respondent engaged in conduct that violates a criminal law of a state, or of the United States, an ordinance, or tribal law, in violation of MCR 9.104(5); engaged in conduct that exposes the legal profession or the courts to obloquy, contempt, censure, or reproach, in violation of MCR 9.104(2); and, engaged in conduct that is contrary to justice, ethics, honesty, or good morals, in violation of MCR 9.104(3).

In accordance with the stipulation of the parties, the hearing panel ordered that respondent be reprimanded. Costs were assessed in the amount of \$779.16.