MEMBERS
THOMAS G. KIENBAUM
CHAIRPERSON

JAMES M. CAMERON, JR.
VICE-CHAIRPERSON
ROSALIND E. GRIFFIN, M.D.
SECRETARY
CARL E. VER BEEK
CRAIG H. LUBBEN
SYLVIA P. WHITMER, Ph.D
LAWRENCE G. CAMPBELL
DULCE M. FULLER
LOUANN VAN DER WIELE

## STATE OF MICHIGAN ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD



JOHN F. VAN BOLT EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MARK A. ARMITAGE DEPUTY DIRECTOR JENNIFER M. PETTY LEGAL ASSISTANT

211 WEST FORT ST. SUITE 1410 DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226-3236 PHONE: 313-963-5553 FAX: 313-963-5571 ---WWW.ADBMICH.ORG

## NOTICE OF SUSPENSION

Case No. 12-39-GA

Notice Issued: October 23, 2012

Dante' L. Goss, P 60162, Southfield, Michigan, by the Attorney Discipline Board Tri-County Hearing Panel #77.

- 1. Suspension 30 Days
- 2. Effective October 20, 2012

The respondent appeared at the hearing and filed an answer to the formal complaint. At the hearing, respondent admitted the misconduct alleged in the formal complaint. Based on respondent's admission, the panel found that he neglected a legal matter, in violation of MRPC 1.1(c); failed to seek the lawful objectives of his client, in violation of MRPC 1.2(a); failed to act with reasonable diligence and promptness, in violation of MRPC 1.3; failed to keep his client reasonably informed of the status of the legal matter, in violation of MRPC 1.4(a); failed to explain a matter to a client to the extent necessary to permit the client to make informed decisions regarding the representation, in violation of MRPC 1.4(b); solicited professional employment from a prospective client, in violation of MRPC 7.3(a); and knowingly failed to respond to a lawful demand for information from a disciplinary authority, in violation of MRPC 8.1(a)(2).

Additionally, the panel found that respondent engaged in conduct that is a violation of the Michigan Rules of Professional Conduct, contrary to MRPC 8.4(a) and MCR 9.104(4) (former 9.104(A)(4)); engaged in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice, in violation of MRPC 8.4(c) and MCR 9.104(1) (formerly MCR 9.104(A)(1)); engaged in conduct that exposes the legal profession or the courts to obloquy, contempt, censure, or reproach, in violation of MCR 9.104(2) (formerly MCR 9.104(A)(2)); and conduct that is contrary to justice, in violation of MCR 9.104(3) (formerly MCR 9.104(A)(3)).

The panel ordered that respondent's license to practice law in Michigan be suspended for 30 days. Costs were assessed in the amount of \$2,695.36.

OCT 2 3 2012

Dated: