MEMBERS
THOMAS G. KIENBAUM
CHAIRPERSON
JAMES M. CAMERON, JR.
VICE-CHAIRPERSON
ROSALIND E. GRIFFIN, M.D.
SECRETARY
ANDREA L. SOLAK
CARL E. VER BEEK
CRAIG H. LUBBEN
SYLVIA P. WHITMER, Ph.D
LAWRENCE G. CAMPBELL
DULCE M. FULLER

## STATE OF MICHIGAN ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD



JOHN F. VAN BOLT EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MARK A. ARMITAGE DEPUTY DIRECTOR JENNIFER M. PETT LEGAL ASSISTANT

## **NOTICE OF SUSPENSION WITH CONDITION**

Case No. 11-108-GA

Notice Issued: February 10, 2012

Stephen E. Connor, P 59060, Ann Arbor, Michigan, by the Attorney Discipline Board Washtenaw County Hearing Panel #1.

- 1. Suspension 90 Days
- 2. Effective February 10, 2012

The respondent appeared at the hearing but was found to be in default for failing to file an answer to the formal complaint. Based on respondent's default, the panel found that respondent, in a civil litigation matter, failed to provide competent representation, in violation of MRPC 1.1; handled a legal matter without preparation adequate to the circumstances, in violation of MRPC 1.1(b); neglected a legal matter entrusted to him, in violation of MRPC 1.1(c); failed to seek the lawful objectives of his client through reasonably available means permitted by law, in violation of MRPC 1.2(a); failed to act with reasonable diligence and promptness in representing a client, in violation of MRPC 1.3: failed to keep his client reasonably informed about the status of a matter and to respond promptly to reasonable requests for information from his client, in violation of MRPC 1.4(a); failed to explain a matter to the extent reasonably necessary to permit his client to make an informed decision regarding the representation, in violation of MRPC 1.4(b); knowingly disobeyed an obligation under the rules of a tribunal, in violation of MRPC 3.4(c); knowingly failed to respond to a demand for information from a disciplinary authority, in violation of MRPC 8.1(a)(2); failed to answer a request for investigation, in violation of MCR 9.104(A)(7) and MCR 9.113(A) and (B)(2); violated the Michigan Rules of Professional Conduct, in violation of MRPC 8.4(a) and MCR 9.104(A)(4); engaged in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice; in violation of MRPC 8.4(c) and MCR 9.104(A)(1); engaged in conduct that exposes the legal profession or the courts to obloquy, contempt, censure, or reproach, in violation fo MCR 9.104(A)(2); and engaged in conduct that is contrary to justice, ethics, honesty, or good morals, in violation of MCR 9.104(A)(3).

The panel ordered that respondent's license to practice law in Michigan be suspended for 90 days with a condition relevant to the established misconduct. Cost were assessed in the amount of \$1,703.26.

John F. Van Bo

Dated: FEB 10 2012