

MEMBERS

**JONATHAN E. LAUDERBACH**

*CHAIRPERSON*

**MICHAEL B. RIZIK, JR.**

*VICE-CHAIRPERSON*

**BARBARA WILLIAMS FORNEY**

*SECRETARY*

**KAREN D. O'DONOGHUE**

**LINDA S. HOTCHKISS, MD**


**MICHAEL S. HOHAUSER**

**PETER A. SMIT**

**ALAN GERSHEL**

**LINDA M. ORLANS**

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
**ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD**



333 WEST FORT STREET, SUITE 1700  
DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226-3147  
PHONE: 313-963-5553

**MARK A. ARMITAGE**  
*EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR*

**WENDY A. NEELEY**  
*DEPUTY DIRECTOR*

**KAREN M. DALEY**  
*ASSOCIATE COUNSEL*

**SHERRY MIFSUD**  
*OFFICE ADMINISTRATOR*

**ALLYSON M. PLOURDE**  
*CASE MANAGER*

**OWEN R. MONTGOMERY**  
*CASE MANAGER*

**JULIE M. LOISELLE**  
*RECEPTIONIST/SECRETARY*

[www.adbmich.org](http://www.adbmich.org)

**NOTICE OF REPRIMAND**

Case No. 19-53-GA

**Notice Issued: July 14, 2021**

Scott Robert Reizen, P 63724, Royal Oak, Michigan, by the Attorney Discipline Board affirming Tri-County Hearing Panel #76's order of reprimand.

Reprimand, Effective March 24, 2021

After proceedings held in accordance with MCR 9.115, the hearing panel found that respondent committed professional misconduct when he entered into agreements with two former clients, each of whom had filed separate requests for investigation against him, that the respective grievances would be dismissed following a financial settlement agreement with each former client, in violation of MCR 9.104(10)(b).

The panel ordered that respondent be reprimanded. Respondent filed a timely petition for review and a petition for a stay, which was automatically issued pursuant to MCR 9.115(K). The Attorney Discipline Board conducted review proceedings in accordance with MCR 9.118, and in an order entered on October 1, 2020, affirmed the panel's April 20, 2020 Order of Reprimand.

On October 28, 2020, respondent filed a timely application for leave to appeal to the Michigan Supreme Court, which automatically continued the stay of the discipline order pursuant to MCR 9.122(C). The Court denied respondent's application for leave to appeal in an order entered March 2, 2021. Respondent filed a motion for reconsideration on March 18, 2021, which was denied by the Court in an order entered on June 1, 2021.

Total costs were assessed in the amount of \$2,453.00.