

MEMBERS
WILLIAM J. DANHOF
CHAIRPERSON
THOMAS G. KIENBAUM
VICE-CHAIRPERSON
WILLIAM L. MATTHEWS, CPA
SECRETARY
ANDREA L. SOLAK
ROSALIND E. GRIFFIN, M.D.
CARL E. VER BEEK
CRAIG H. LUBBEN
JAMES M. CAMERON, JR.
SYLVIA P. WHITMER, Ph.D

STATE OF MICHIGAN
ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD



JOHN F. VAN BOLT
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

MARK A. ARMITAGE
DEPUTY DIRECTOR

JENNIFER M. PETTY
LEGAL ASSISTANT

211 WEST FORT ST.
SUITE 1410
DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226-3236
PHONE: 313-963-5553
FAX: 313-963-5571
WWW.ADBMICH.ORG

NOTICE OF SUSPENSION WITH CONDITIONS
(By Consent)

Case No. 09-115-GA

Notice Issued: June 16, 2010

Antoinette L. Copeland, P 52448, Kingsley, Michigan, by the Attorney Discipline Board Grant Traverse County Hearing Panel #2.

1. Suspension - 1 Year
2. Effective June 16, 2010

The respondent and the Grievance Administrator filed a stipulation for a consent order of discipline, in accordance with MCR 9.115(F)(5), containing respondent's admission that she handled a legal matter which she knew or should have known she was not competent to handle without associating with a lawyer who was competent to handle it; handled a legal matter without preparation adequate in the circumstances; neglected a legal matter; failed to act with reasonable diligence and promptness; failed to keep a client reasonably informed and comply promptly with reasonable requests for information; failed to explain a matter to the extent reasonably necessary to permit a client to make informed decisions regarding the representation; failed to take reasonable steps to protect a client's interests upon termination of representation; failed to make reasonable efforts to expedite litigation; failed to answer a request for investigation in conformity with MCR 9.113; and knowingly failed to respond to a lawful demand for information from a disciplinary authority. Respondent's conduct was in violation of MCR 9.104(A)(1)-(4) and (7); and Michigan Rules of Professional Conduct 1.1(a)-(c); 1.3; 1.4(a) and (b); 1.16(d); 3.2; 8.1(a)(2); and 8.4(a)-(c).

The parties agreed that respondent should be suspended for one year and be subject to conditions relevant to the admitted misconduct. Costs were assessed in the amount of \$932.43.



John F. Van Bolt

JUN 16 2010

Dated: _____