

STATE OF MICHIGAN
Attorney Discipline Board

FILED
ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD

2021-Jan-27

GRIEVANCE ADMINISTRATOR,
Attorney Grievance Commission,

Petitioner,

v

Case No. 19-122-GA

TIMOTHY THOMAS DOTY, P 75614

Respondent.

_____ /

AMENDED ORDER OF REINSTATEMENT¹

Issued by Attorney Discipline Board
333 W. Fort St., Detroit, Michigan 48226

On April 13, 2020, Tri-County Hearing Panel #104 entered an Order of Suspension in this matter suspending respondent from the practice of law in Michigan for 120 days, effective May 5, 2020. On May 1, 2020, respondent filed a Motion for Reconsideration of Hearing Panel per MCR 9.118(E). In response to a request for clarification from the Attorney Discipline Board, on May 18, 2020, respondent filed a Petition for Stay Pursuant to Filing of Motion of Relief from Judgment or Order Under Rule 2.612(C)(1)(f) and Motion of Relief From Judgment or Order Pursuant to Rule 2.612(C)(1)(f). An Order Granting Interim Stay of Order of Discipline was issued on May 29, 2020. On June 19, 2020, the panel issued an Order Denying Respondent's Motion for Relief from Judgment And Dissolving Interim Stay of Order of Discipline. Pursuant to that order, respondent's 120-day suspension from the practice of law became effective on July 11, 2020.

On December 21, 2020, respondent, Timothy Thomas Doty, submitted an affidavit pursuant to MCR 9.123(A), stating that he has fully complied with all requirements of the Order of Suspension. On January 8, 2021, the Board was advised that the Grievance Administrator had no objection to the affidavit; and the Board being otherwise advised;

NOW THEREFORE,

IT IS ORDERED that respondent, Timothy Thomas Doty, P 75614, is **REINSTATED** to the practice of law in Michigan effective January 12, 2021.

ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD

Dated: January 27, 2021

By: /s/ Mark A. Armitage
Executive Director

¹ Amended as to the year of the effective date, and the year of the date on which the Grievance Administrator advised they had no objection.