


MEMBERS
LORI McALLISTER
CHAIRPERSON
WILLIAM J. DANHOF
VICE-CHAIRPERSON
WILLIAM L. MATTHEWS, CPA
SECRETARY
GEORGE H. LENNON
BILLY BEN BAUMANN, M.D.
HON. RICHARD F. SUHRHEINRICH
ANDREA L. SOLAK
THOMAS G. KIENBAUM
ROSALIND E. GRIFFIN, M.D.

STATE OF MICHIGAN
ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD



JOHN F. VAN BOLT
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

MARK A. ARMITAGE
DEPUTY DIRECTOR

JENNIFER M. PETTY
LEGAL ASSISTANT

211 WEST FORT ST.
SUITE 1410
DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226-3236
PHONE: 313-963-5553
FAX: 313-963-5571

WWW.ADBMICH.ORG

NOTICE OF REVOCATION

Case No: 07-177-GA

Notice Issued: August 27, 2008

Harvey J. Zameck, P 22687, Southfield, Michigan, by the Attorney Discipline Tri-County Hearing Panel #57.

1. Revocation
2. Effective August 26, 2008¹

The respondent appeared at the hearing but was found to be in default for his failure to file an answer to the formal complaint. Based on that default, the panel found that respondent, neglected a legal matter; failed to seek the lawful objectives of a client; failed to act with reasonable diligence and promptness; failed to explain a matter to a client to the extent reasonably necessary to permit the client to make informed decision regarding the representation; failed to provide notice of his suspension to his clients; held himself out as an attorney during the period of his suspension; failed to surrender papers and property to which the client is entitled at the termination of the representation; engaged in conduct that violates the criminal laws of the state; engaged in conduct that violates a criminal law of a state or the United States; practiced law in a jurisdiction where doing so violates the regulation of the legal profession in that jurisdiction; practiced law during the period of his suspension; failed to answer three requests for investigation; and knowingly failed to respond to a lawful demand for information from a disciplinary authority.

Respondent's conduct was in violation of MCR 9.104(A)(1)-(5) and (7); MCR 9.113(A) and (B)(2); MCR 9.119(A); MCR 9.11 9(E)(1) and (3); and Michigan Rules of Professional Conduct 1.1(c); 1.2(a); 1.3; 1.4(b); 1.16(d); 5.2(a); 8.1(a)(2); and 8.4(a)-(c).

The hearing panel ordered that respondent's license to practice law in Michigan be revoked and assessed costs in the amount of \$1,986.22.


John F. Van Bolt

Dated: **AUG 27 2008**

¹ Respondent's license to practice law in Michigan has been continuously suspended since February 28, 2005. See Notice of Suspension issued March 22, 2005.