MEMBERS
LORI McALLISTER
CHAIRPERSON
WILLIAM J. DANHOF
VICE-CHAIRPERSON
WILLIAM L. MATTHEWS, CPA
SECRETARY
GEORGE H. LENNON
BILLY BEN BAUMANN, M.D.
HON. RICHARD F. SUHRHEINRICH
ANDREA L. SOLAK
THOMAS G. KIENBAUM
EILEEN LAPPIN WEISER

STATE OF MICHIGAN ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD



JOHN F. VAN BOLT EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

MARK A. ARMITAGE DEPUTY DIRECTOR

JENNIFER M. PETTY LEGAL ASSISTANT

211 WEST FORT ST. SUITE 1410 DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226-3236 PHONE: 313-963-5553 FAX: 313-963-5571

WWW.ADBMICH.ORG

NOTICE OF REVOCATION AND RESTITUTION

Case No. 06-119-GA

Notice Issued: June 13, 2008

Lola L. Glass f/k/a Lola Glass Huntley, P 32835, Grosse Pointe Farms, Michigan, by the Attorney Discipline Board Tri-County Hearing Panel #21.

- 1. Revocation
- 2. Effective June 13, 2008

The hearing panel found that respondent, after being retained to obtain a Personal Protection Order (PPO), did not pursue her client's legal matter; did not provide her professional mailing address when she filed for the PPO and failed to appear at the motion to set aside the PPO; did not attempt to check the docket entries to determine the status of said PPO; knew or should have known that she could not obtain a PPO in Texas and failed to explain this to her client; charged excessive fees for work allegedly performed in obtaining the PPO; counseled her client in real estate matters when she had no expertise in the same; changed her rates from those outlined by the UAW Legal Services Program; changed her rates throughout her professional relationship with her client; misappropriated client funds by charging her client \$3,000 to obtain a PPO in Texas when respondent knew or should have known that she could not accomplish this; and misappropriated client funds by requesting and improperly withdrawing funds from her client's escrow account for additional fees for matters which had already been billed and paid for by her client. Additionally, the panel found that respondent knowingly failed to respond to a properly mailed and served request for investigation, formal complaint, and default and affidavit.

Respondent's conduct was in violation of MCR 9.104(A)(1)-(4) and (7); MCR 9.113(A) and (B)(2); and Michigan Rules of Professional Conduct 1.1(c); 1.2(a); 1.3; 1.4(b); 1.5(a) and (b); 8.1(a)(2); and 8.4(a)-(c).

The hearing panel ordered that respondent's license to practice in Michigan be revoked and that she pay restitution in the amount of \$10,284.50. Total costs were assessed in the amount of \$7,301.93.

John F. Van Bolt

Dated: JUN 1 3 2008