MEMBERS
WILLIAM J. DANHOF
CHAIRPERSON
THOMAS G. KIENBAUM
VICE-CHAIRPERSON
WILLIAM L. MATTHEWS, CPA
SECRETARY
BILLY BEN BAUMANN, M.D.
ANDREA L. SOLAK
ROSALIND E. GRIFFIN, M.D.
CARL E. VER BEEK
CRAIG H. LUBBEN
JAMES M. CAMERON, JR.

## STATE OF MICHIGAN ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD

JOHN F. VAN BOLT EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MARK A. ARMITAGE DEPUTY DIRECTOR JENNIFER M. PETTY LEGAL ASSISTANT



211 WEST FORT ST. SUITE 1410 DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226-3236 PHONE: 313-963-5553 FAX: 313-963-5571 WWW.ADBMICH.ORG

## **FINAL NOTICE OF REVOCATION AND RESTITUTION**

Case No. 07-103-GA

Notice Issued: July 30, 2009

George V. Warren, P 21996, Potterville, Michigan, by the Attorney Discipline Board, affirming the order of revocation issued by the Ingham County Hearing Panel #4.

- 1. Revocation
- 2. Effective March 25, 2008<sup>1</sup>

The hearing panel found that respondent, in an immigration matter, neglected the matter; failed to keep his client reasonably informed about the status of her matter; failed to communicate with his client to the extent reasonably necessary for his client to make informed decisions regarding the representation; failed to seek the lawful objectives of his client; failed to act with reasonable diligence and promptness in representing his client; failed to return an advance payment of a fee that had not been earned; failed to deposit client funds into a client trust account; misappropriated client monies and engaged in conduct involving misrepresentation; knowingly made a false statement of material fact to the Grievance Administrator; failed to respond to the lawful demand for information from a disciplinary authority; made a knowing misrepresentation of a fact or circumstance surrounding a request for investigation; and engaged in conduct involving misrepresentation, in violation of MCR 9.104(A)(1)-(4) and (6); and Michigan Rules of Professional Conduct 1.1(c); 1.2(a); 1.3; 1.4(a) and (b); 1.15(a); 1.16(d); 8.1(a)(1)-(2); and 8.4(a)-(c).

The panel ordered that respondent's license to practice law in Michigan be revoked and that he pay restitution in the amount of \$1,060.00.

Respondent filed a timely petition for review and for a stay of discipline. The Attorney Discipline Board scheduled the matter for a review hearing but denied respondent's petition for a stay of discipline.

Review proceedings were held before the Attorney Discipline Board on August 21, 2008, and the Board affirmed the hearing panel's order of revocation in an order issued September 26, 2008. Respondent filled an application for leave to appeal with the Michigan Supreme Court which was denied on May 27, 2009. Total costs were assessed in the amount of \$2,840.60.

John F. Van Bolt

Dated: JUL 3 0 2009

<sup>1</sup> Respondent has been continuously suspended from the practice of law in Michigan since March 25, 2008. See Notice of Revocation and Restitution (Pending Appeal) issued March 28, 2008.