MEMBERS LORI McALLISTER CHAIRPERSON WILLIAM J. DANHOF VICE-CHAIRPERSON

GEORGE H. LENNON

THOMAS G. KIENBAUM

EILEEN LAPPIN WEISER

ANDREA L. SOLAK

SECRETARY

WILLIAM L. MATTHEWS, CPA

BILLY BEN BAUMANN, M.D.

HON, RICHARD F. SUHRHEINRICH

STATE OF MICHIGAN ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MARK A. ARMITAGE

JOHN F. VAN BOLT

DEPUTY DIRECTOR

IENNIFER M PETTY LEGAL ASSISTANT

211 WEST FORT ST. **SUITE 1410** DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226-3236 PHONE: 313-963-5553

FAX: 313-963-5571 WWW.ADBMICH.ORG



NOTICE OF SUSPENSION (By Consent)

Case No. 07-31-GA

Notice Issued: November 20, 2007

Michelle L. Lund. P 51462. South Lyon, Michigan, by the Attorney Discipline Board Tri-County Hearing Panel #78.

- 1. Suspension - 45 Days
- 2. Effective November 2, 2007

The respondent and the Grievance Administrator filed a stipulation for a consent order of discipline, in accordance with MCR 9.115(F)(5), containing respondent's plea of no contest to the allegations that she neglected a legal matter; failed to act with reasonable diligence and promptness in representing a client; failed to keep a client reasonably informed about the status of a matter and to comply promptly with reasonable requests for information; failed to explain a matter to the extent reasonably necessary to permit a client to make informed decisions regarding the representation; failed to make reasonable efforts to expedite litigation consistent with the interests of her client; engaged in conduct prejudicial to the proper administration of justice; engaged in conduct that exposes the legal profession or the courts to obloguy, contempt, censure, or reproach; and engaged in conduct that is contrary to justice, ethics, honesty, or good morals.

Respondent was charged with violations of Michigan Court Rules 9.104(A)(1)-(3); and Michigan Rules of Professional Conduct 1.1(a) and (c): 1.3; 1.4(a) and (b): 3.2; and 8.4(c).

The parties agreed that respondent's license to practice in Michigan should be suspended for 45 days and that she be subject to a condition relevant to the alleged misconduct. Costs were assessed in the amount of \$1,059.06.

NOV 2 0 2007

Dated: