

NOTICE OF REVOCATION AND RESTITUTION

Case No. 06-40-GA

Notice Issued: December 14, 2006

Andrew K. Wilkins, P 56559, East Lansing, Michigan, by the Attorney Discipline Board Ingham County Hearing Panel #6.

1. Revocation
2. Effective June 17, 2006¹

Respondent was found to be in default for his failure to file an answer to the formal complaint. Respondent also failed to appear at the public hearing. Based on the default, the panel found that respondent, in 12 matters, neglected those matters; failed to seek the lawful objectives of his clients; failed to act with a reasonable diligence and promptness; failed to keep his clients reasonably informed regarding the status of their matters; failed to refund the unearned portion of fees; failed to hold property of his clients or third persons separate from his own and in an IOLTA; misappropriated those funds; knowingly disobeyed and obligation under the rules of a tribunal in one matter; failed to explain a matter to the extent reasonably necessary to permit three of his clients to make informed decisions regarding the representation; knowingly failed to respond to the lawful demand for information from a disciplinary authority; engaged in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit or misrepresentation; engaged in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice; engaged in conduct that exposes the legal profession or the courts to obloquy, contempt, censure or reproach; engaged in conduct that is contrary to justice, ethics, honesty or good morals; and failed to answer 14 requests for investigation.

Respondent's conduct was in violation of MCR 9.104(A)(1)-(4) and (7); MCR 9.113(A) and (B)(2); and Michigan Rules of Professional Conduct 1.1(c); 1.2(a); 1.2; 1.4(a) and (b); 1.15(b) and (d); 1.16(d); 3.4(c); 8.1(a)(2); and 8.4(a)-(c).

The panel ordered that respondent's license to practice law be revoked, effective June 17, 2006, the date he was suspended for non-payment of the costs assessed in Grievance Administrator v Andrew K. Wilkins, Case No. 05-144-GA. The panel also ordered that respondent pay restitution in the aggregate amount of \$28,770.00. Costs were assessed in the amount of \$1,900.39.

¹ Respondent has been continuously suspended from the practice of law in Michigan since June 17, 2006. Please see Notice of Automatic Suspension for Non-Payment of Costs, issued June 19, 2006.