


MEMBERS
JONATHAN E. LAUDERBACH
CHAIRPERSON
MICHAEL B. RIZIK, JR.
VICE-CHAIRPERSON
BARBARA WILLIAMS FORNEY
SECRETARY
JAMES A. FINK
JOHN W. INHULSEN
KAREN D. O'DONOGHUE
LINDA S. HOTCHKISS, MD
ANNA FRUSHOUR
MICHAEL S. HOHAUSER

STATE OF MICHIGAN
ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD



333 WEST FORT STREET, SUITE 1700
DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226-3147
PHONE: 313-963-5553 | FAX: 313-963-5571

MARK A. ARMITAGE
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
—
WENDY A. NEELEY
DEPUTY DIRECTOR
—
KAREN M. DALEY
ASSOCIATE COUNSEL
—
SHERRY MIFSUD
OFFICE ADMINISTRATOR
—
ALLYSON M. PLOURDE
CASE MANAGER
—
OWEN R. MONTGOMERY
CASE MANAGER
—
JULIE M. LOISELLE
RECEPTIONIST/SECRETARY
—
www.adbmich.org

NOTICE OF REPRIMAND
(By Consent)

Case Nos. 19-70-JC; 19-71-GA

Notice Issued: October 9, 2019

James E. Hall, P 41704, Toledo, Ohio, by the Attorney Discipline Board Washtenaw County Hearing Panel #4.

Reprimand, Effective September 28, 2019

The respondent and the Grievance Administrator filed an Amended Stipulation for Consent Order of Reprimand, in accordance with MCR 9.115(F)(5), which was approved by the Attorney Grievance Commission and accepted by the hearing panel. The stipulation contained respondent's admission that he was convicted of (1) Attempted assaulting/resisting/obstructing police officer, a misdemeanor, in violation of MCL 750.81(D)(1)(A); and (2) operating while impaired, a misdemeanor, in violation of MCL 257.625(3)-A, in a matter titled *State of Michigan v James E. Hall*, 1st District Court Case No. 17-4169-FY. Additionally, the amended stipulation contains respondent's admission that he failed to timely report his convictions to the Attorney Grievance Commission and the Attorney Discipline Board, as alleged in the formal complaint.

Based upon respondent's admissions and the stipulation of the parties, the panel found that respondent failed to report his two convictions, in violation of MCR 9.120(A) and (B); and engaged in conduct that violated a criminal law of a state or of the United States, an ordinance, or tribal law pursuant to MCR 2.615, in violation of MCR 9.104(5). Respondent was also found to have violated MCR 9.104(1) and (4); and MRPC 8.4(a) and (c).

In accordance with the stipulation of the parties, the panel ordered that respondent be reprimanded. Costs were assessed in the amount of \$756.96.

Mark A. Armitage
Executive Director