NOTICE OF REVOCATION (By Consent)

Case Nos. 98-179-GA; 98-204-FA; 99-63-GA

Issued: May 12, 2000

Terry L. Netzloff, P-25305, Birmingham, Michigan by the Attorney Discipline Board Tri-County Hearing Panel #64.

- 1) Revocation;
- 2) Effective May 9, 2000.

The respondent and the Grievance Administrator submitted a stipulation for consent order of discipline in accordance with MCR 9.115(f)(5). The stipulation was approved by the Attorney Grievance Commission and was accepted by a hearing panel. Respondent entered a plea of no contest to the allegations of professional misconduct contained in Formal Complaints 98-179-GA and 98-204-FA and to the allegations contained in Counts Two, Three and Four in Formal Complaint 99-63-GA, to wit: That respondent neglected his obligations to a client in a real estate transaction by failing to record a warranty deed or otherwise taking action to facilitate removal of a defect in title and failed to maintain reasonable communication with that client; that respondent failed to release the deed and other documents to the client for a period of nine months; that in his fiduciary capacity as trustee of a decedent's trust in another matter, respondent commingled estate funds with his personal funds, misappropriated funds from the trust and used the decedent's apartment and furnishings for his personal enjoyment without paying rent or obtaining the permission of the heirs; that respondent made false and misleading statements to a beneficiary of the trust concerning the delay in its administration; that respondent failed to answer four requests for investigation served upon him by the Grievance Administrator; and that respondent failed to answer a formal complaint. Respondent's conduct was alleged to be in violation of MCR 9.104(1)-(4) and (7); MCR 9.113(A); MCR 9.113(B)(2) and Michigan Rules of Professional Conduct 1.1(c); 1.2(a); 1.3; 1.4; 1.15(a)-(c); 8.1(b); and 8.4(a) and (c).

The parties stipulated to the entry of an order revoking respondent's license to practice law in Michigan. Costs were assessed in the amount of \$97.50.