## NOTICE OF REVOCATION AND RESTITUTION

Case Nos. 97-48-GA; 97-60-GA

Martin D. Pavlock, P-41305, Troy, Michigan, by Attorney Discipline Board Tri-County Hearing Panel #9.

- Revocation;
- 2) Effective September 30, 1997.

The first complainant paid respondent \$1,250 to probate the estate of her deceased mother. The panel found, by default, that respondent neglected the matter; failed to release the client file and return the unearned fee; and failed to answer the Request for Investigation.

The second complainant paid respondent \$1,000 to represent his interests in certain real property. The panel found that respondent neglected the matter; failed to release the client file and return the unearned fee; and failed to answer the Request for Investigation.

The third complainant retained respondent and paid him \$1,000 to represent her in wrongful discharge litigation. The panel found that respondent neglected the matter; knowingly made false statements to the complainant; failed to inform the complainant that his license to practice law had been revoked effective August 23, 1996, and assured her that he was an attorney in good standing;1. failed to release the client file and return the unearned fee; and failed to answer the Request for Investigation. Respondent also failed to answer the Formal Complaints and failed to appear at the disciplinary hearing.

Respondent's conduct was found to be in violation of MCR 9.103(C); MCR 9.104(1)-(4) and (7); MCR 9.113(A) and (B)(2); MCR 9.119(E); and Michigan Rules of Professional Conduct 1.1(c); 1.2(a); 1.3; 1.4(a) and (b); 1.15(b); 1.16(d); 3.4(c); 5.5(a); 8.1(b); and 8.4(a)-(c); and MCL 600.916; MSA 27A.916.

The panel ordered that respondent's license to practice law be revoked for a third time, effective September 30, 1997; that he may not apply for reinstatement for fifteen years; that he make restitution to the complainants totalling \$3,125.00; and that he return the complainants' files and documents. Costs were assessed in the amount of \$178.71.

1. Case Nos. 96-141-GA; 96-158-FA. See Notice of Revocation and Restitution dated September 16, 1996. Case Nos. 96-239-GA et al. See Notice of Revocation and Restitution dated May 28, 1997.