NOTICE OF REVOCATIONS

Case Nos. 90-98-GA; 91-146-GA

Russell G. Slade, P-24726, Carrollton, Michigan, by Attorney Discipline Board Upper Peninsula Hearing Panel #1.

- 1) Two Separate, Concurrent Revocations;
- 2) Effective December 29, 1995.

Formal Complaint 90-98-GA charged respondent with four counts of misappropriation, four counts of failure to answer a Request for Investigation, two counts of misrepresentation to a client, two counts of misrepresentation to a tribunal, and one count of conflict of interest. Formal Complaint 91-146-GA charged respondent with eight counts of failure to answer a Request for Investigation, seven counts of misrepresentation, six counts of neglect, three counts of misappropriation, two counts of conflict of interest, and one count of failure to return an unearned fee. The complaints were consolidated for hearing, and later discontinued after a May 1993 Order of Revocation in an unrelated matter. In December 1994, the Michigan Supreme Court entered an order vacating the discontinuance. The Attorney Discipline Board then remanded the matter to the panel for hearing. A hearing was held in April 1995, at which respondent failed to appear. The petitioner's motion to strike respondent's answers was granted, and a default was entered on each complaint. The panel found that the allegations of misconduct were established by the default.

Respondent's conduct was found to be in violation of MCR 9.103(C); MCR 9.104(1)-(5)and(7); MCR 9.113(B)(2); Michigan Rules of Professional Conduct 1.1(c); 1.2(a); 1.3; 1.4(a)and(b); 1.8(a); 1.8(h)(2); 1.15(a)and(b); 1.16(d); 3.2; 3.3(a)(1); 8.1(b) and 8.4(a)-(c); and Canons 1, 6, 7 and 9 of the then-applicable Code of Professional Responsibility, DR 1-102(A)(1),(3)-(6); DR 6-101(A)(3); DR 7-101(A)(1)-(3); and DR 9-102(A)and(B)(4).

On December 7, 1995, the panel entered two separate, concurrent orders of revocation, one as to each formal complaint. The panel also order respondent to make restitution to three of the complainants in the total amount of \$13,150.09. Costs were assessed in the amount of \$1,648.42.

NOTE: Respondent has been suspended from the practice of law in Michigan continuously since July 30, 1990.