NOTICE OF REVOCATION AND RESTITUTION

Case Nos. 94-227-GA; 95-6-GA

David A. Pandilidis, P-39964, Lansing, Michigan, by Attorney Discipline Board Washtenaw County Hearing Panel #3.

- 1) Revocation:
- 2) Effective April 1, 1995.

Respondent failed to answer the Formal Complaints and failed to appear at the hearing held in Ypsilanti on February 14, 1995. His defaults were entered, and the panel determined that the defaults established the allegations of the Formal Complaints.

Respondent was retained by the plaintiff in a personal injury matter, and a \$25,000 check was issued to him by the defendant's insurance company. He deposited the funds into an account which was not a client trust account; commingled the funds with non-trust funds; failed to render an accounting of his costs and expenses; misappropriated over \$1,100 of the settlement proceeds; failed to turn over the client file upon demand; and failed to answer the Request for Investigation.

Respondent was retained and paid \$400 by the defendant/wife in a divorce action, but neglected the matter; failed to turn over the client file upon demand; and failed to answer the Request for Investigation.

Respondent was retained and paid \$700 by a woman to assist her in obtaining financing to pay debts and remortgage her home, and to represent her in foreclosure proceedings. He neglected the matters; knowingly made false representations to his client; failed to turn over the client file or refund the unearned fees upon demand; and failed to answer the Request for Investigation.

Respondent was retained and paid \$2300 by the husband in a divorce action, but neglected the matter; failed to turn over the client file or to refund the unearned fees upon demand; and failed to answer the Request for Investigation.

Respondent was appointed to represent the defendant in a criminal matter, but neglected the matter; upon his discharge, failed to promptly turn over his client's file to successor counsel; failed to execute the stipulation for substitution of attorneys; failed to file a motion to withdraw from the representation; and failed to answer the Request for Investigation.

Respondent was retained by a woman in a dispute regarding a loan, and was entrusted with \$43,250. Respondent deposited the

funds into an account which was not a client trust account; commingled the funds with non-trust funds; failed to render an accounting to his client; failed to turn over his client's file upon demand; and failed to answer the Request for Investigation.

Respondent was retained by the defendant's father and paid \$2000 to appeal criminal convictions, but neglected the matter; upon his discharge, failed to promptly turn over the client file upon demand; failed to refund the unearned fees; and failed to answer the Request for Investigation.

Respondent was appointed as appellate counsel for a criminal defendant, but neglected the matter and failed to answer the Request for Investigation.

Respondent was retained and paid \$750 to institute proceedings seeking to withdraw a guilty plea in a criminal matter, but neglected the matter; failed to refund the unearned fee or release his client's file; and failed to answer the Request for Investigation.

Respondent's conduct was found to be in violation of MCR 9.103(C); MCR 9.104(1)-(4)and(7); MCR 9.113(A)and(B)(2); and Michigan Rules of Professional Conduct 1.1(a)-(c); 1.2(a); 1.3; 1.4; 1.5(a); 1.15(a)-(c); 1.16(a)(3)and(d); 3.2; 3.4(c); 8.1(b); and 8.4(a)-(c).

The panel ordered that respondent's license to practice law in Michigan be revoked, and that he make restitution six complainants in the total amount of \$7564.87 plus interest. Costs were assessed in the amount of \$699.25.

NOTE: Respondent's license to practice law in Michigan was also revoked in Case Nos. 94-181-GA; 94-203-FA; 94-209-GA, effective February 25, 1995. See Notice of Revocation and Restitution dated February 28, 1995.