## NOTICE OF RECIPROCAL REVOCATION

Case No. 93-23-RD

Kathryn A. Schindelar, P-19986, Stanhope, New Jersey, by Attorney Discipline Board Tri-County Hearing Panel #20.

- 1) Revocation:
- 2) Effective October 6, 1993.

Respondent's license to practice law in Colorado was revoked effective February 1, 1993. The Colorado Supreme Court found that respondent failed to disclose the inadequacy of security for loans made to her by a client; failed to provide the client with appropriate legal documents to ensure repayment of the loans; entered into a prohibited business transaction with the client; did not discuss the inherent conflicts of interest with the client; did not make full disclosure to the client; did not suggest that the client consult with independent counsel; intentionally prejudiced or damaged the client during the course of the professional relationship; and, engaged in conduct that adversely reflected on her fitness to practice law. The Court found that respondent's conduct violated DR 1-102(A)(4)and(6); DR 5-104(A); DR 7-101(A)(3).

In accordance with MCR 9.104, proof of an adjudication of misconduct in a disciplinary proceeding by another state is conclusive proof of misconduct in a disciplinary proceeding in Michigan. The only issues to be addressed in the Michigan proceedings are whether the respondent was afforded due process of law in the course of the original proceedings and whether imposition of identical discipline in Michigan would be inappropriate.

The panel concluded that respondent was afforded due process of law in the course of the original proceedings, and that imposition of identical discipline in Michigan would not be clearly inappropriate, and ordered that respondent's license to practice law in Michigan be revoked. Costs were assessed in the amount of \$112.75.