

NOTICE OF REPRIMANDS WITH CONDITION

Case Nos. 90-114-GA; 90-130-FA

William B. Hill, P28608, Southfield, Michigan, by Attorney
Discipline Board Tri-County Hearing Panel #32.

- 1) Two Reprimands;
- 2) Effective May 29, 1993.

Respondent failed to timely answer the formal complaints, defaults were entered, and the panel determined that the defaults established the allegations of the formal complaints.

Respondent was retained by the defendant in a criminal matter and paid fees totaling approximately \$4500, but failed to take any action on his client's behalf; failed to file an appearance; failed to keep in adequate communication with his client; failed to appear at the examination in his client's criminal matter; failed to refund the unearned fee; and, failed to answer the request for investigation.

Respondent's conduct was found to be in violation of MCR 9.104 (1-4,7); MCR 9.103(C); MCR 9.113(B)(2); and the Michigan Rules of Professional Conduct, 1.1(c); 1.2(a); 1.3; 1.16 (d); 8.4(a,c).

The panel concluded that the respondent should receive two reprimands, one for each formal complaint, with the condition that he study the rules pertaining to professional conduct and ethics. Costs were assessed in the amount of \$1224.48.