

NOTICE OF REVOCATION  
(By Consent)

Case Nos. 92-158-GA; 92-159-JC; 92-174-FA

Lyle H. Parr, P18663, Southfield, Michigan, by Attorney  
Discipline Board Tri-County Hearing Panel #85.

- 1) Revocation;
- 2) Effective December 7, 1992.

The respondent and the Grievance Administrator filed a stipulation for consent order of discipline pursuant to MCR 9.115 (F)(5), which was approved by the hearing panel and the Attorney Grievance Commission. Respondent admitted the allegations of professional misconduct contained in Formal Complaints 92-158-GA and 92-174-FA, and the Judgment of Conviction 92-159-JC.

Respondent was retained by a collection bureau to handle collection accounts and subrogation matters, but from approximately 1985 through 1990 failed to maintain funds belonging to his client in a trust account; failed to provide his client with funds to which it was entitled; misappropriated funds belonging to his client; misrepresented to his client the status of its collection accounts and indicated that lawsuits had been filed when they had not.

Since approximately 1971, respondent provided services as a collections attorney for another credit company, but failed to deposit all funds belonging to the client into a trust account; failed to promptly provide his client with funds to which it was entitled; misappropriated funds belonging to the client; and misrepresented to the client the status of its collection accounts and indicated lawsuits had been filed when they had not.

Respondent acted as counsel for a college in a delinquent student loan matter and received direct payments from the student involved, but failed to promptly deliver the funds received from the student to the college; misappropriated the funds; failed to answer the student's inquiries regarding payments made to him and the balance of her student loan; and failed to answer the request for investigation.

Respondent was retained to represent an individual in a real estate matter, but failed to surrender his client's file upon demand; and failed to timely answer the request for investigation.

Respondent was retained by an individual to perform collection services, but failed to perform any services on his client's behalf; failed to keep his client informed concerning the status of

the matters; and failed to answer the request for investigation.

Respondent was retained to handle an estate, but failed to perform any services on behalf of his client or the estate; failed to keep his client informed concerning the status of the matter; and failed to answer the request for investigation.

Respondent pled nolo contendere to one count of Embezzlement-Agent or Trustee Over \$100.00, a felony punishable by imprisonment, in the Washtenaw County Circuit Court, on November 4, 1991.

Respondent's conduct was found to be in violation of MCR 9.104 (1-4,7); MCR 9.113(A)and(B)(2); the Michigan Rules of Professional Conduct, 1.1(c); 1.3; 1.4; 1.15(a,b); 1.16(d); 3.2; 8.1(b); 8.4(a-c); and Canons 1 and 9 of the then-applicable Code of Professional Responsibility, DR 1-102(A)(1,3-6); DR 9-102(A)and (B)(4). Costs were assessed in the amount of \$601.64.