

NOTICE OF REPRIMAND
(By Consent)

Case No. 92-177-GA

Martin B. Marcus, P34234, Farmington Hills, Michigan, by
Attorney Discipline Board Tri-County Hearing Panel #83.

- 1) Reprimand;
- 2) Effective October 31, 1992.

The respondent and the Grievance Administrator filed a stipulation for consent order of discipline pursuant to MCR 9.115 (F)(5), which was approved by the hearing panel and the Attorney Grievance Commission. The respondent admitted the allegations contained in the formal complaint.

Respondent was appointed to represent a defendant on appeal of his criminal conviction, but failed to timely file a Claim of Appeal; failed to timely pay costs assessed; and tendered a check in payment of costs which was dishonored for insufficient funds.

Respondent was appointed to represent another defendant on appeal of his criminal conviction, but failed to timely file an appellate brief; and failed to timely pay costs assessed.

Respondent was appointed to represent another defendant on appeal of his criminal conviction, but failed to timely file an appellate brief; failed to respond to two no progress warning letters; twice failed to timely pay costs assessed; and failed to timely respond to subsequent correspondence from the Court.

Respondent was appointed to represent a defendant in an interlocutory appeal of the reinstatement of criminal charges, but failed to timely file a Claim of Appeal; and failed to timely file an appellate brief.

Respondent's conduct was found to be in violation of MCR 9.104 (1-4); the Michigan Rules of Professional Conduct, 1.1(c); 1.3; 3.4(c); 8.4(a,c); and Canons 1, 6 and 7 of the then-applicable Code of Professional Responsibility, DR 1-102(A)(1,5,6); DR 6-101(A)(3); DR 7-101(A)(1-3). Costs were assessed in the amount \$121.95.