

NOTICE OF REVOCATION

Case No. 90-139-GA

Leon Jenkins, P-29741, Detroit, Michigan, by the Attorney Discipline Board affirming a hearing panel order of revocation and modifying the effective date of revocation.

- 1) Revocation;
- 2) Effective January 23, 1991.

Based upon its consideration of the Judicial Tenure Commission's record of proceedings, Tri-County Hearing Panel #12 concluded that respondent committed numerous acts of professional misconduct, including but not limited to the following: While a 36th District Court Judge, respondent accepted money or other items of value in exchange for favorable consideration in matters pending in the Court; engaged in a pattern of improper ex parte communications with litigants; accepted numerous gifts, bequests, favors or loans of money or other items of value from individuals who, with respondent's prior knowledge, were litigants charged with offenses pending in the Court; failed to report the receipt of gifts on financial disclosure statements pursuant to the requirements of his office; fraudulently obtained automobile insurance premium reductions by falsely representing to his insurance carrier that he resided in West Bloomfield, while he actually resided in Detroit.

Respondent's conduct was found to be in violation of MCR 9.104 (1)-(5) and Canon 1 of the then-applicable Code of Professional Responsibility, DR 1-102(A)(1),(3)and(4)-(6). On June 24, 1993, the panel entered its order revoking respondent's license to practice law effective February 4, 1993.

Respondent filed a petition for review. On March 18, 1994, the Attorney Discipline Board affirmed the hearing panel order of revocation and modified the effective date of the revocation to January 23, 1991, the date of respondent's removal from his judicial position. Respondent filed a motion for reconsideration, which was denied by the Board on April 25, 1994. The Grievance Administrator and the respondent each filed an application for leave to appeal with the Michigan Supreme Court. Both applications were denied by the Court on November 22, 1994. Costs were assessed in the total amount of \$2824.40.