MEMBERS HANLEY M. GURWIN

CHAIRMAN REMONA A. GREEN* VICE-CHAIRMAN THEODORE P. ZEGOURAS

PATRICK J. KEATING

SECRETARY HON, MARTIN M. DOCTOROFF ROBERT S. HARRISON LINDA S. HOTCHKISS, M.D.

STATE OF MICHIGAN

JOHN F. VAN BOLT EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR & Attorney Discipline Board

SUITE 1260 333 W FORT STREET DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226 Area Code 313 963-5553

GENERAL COUNSEL

NOTICE OF REVOCATION

DP 145/84: ADB 188-88

Carl Leibowitz, P 16531, Chicago, Illinois, by Attorney Discipline Board Wayne County Hearing Panel #10.

- 1) Revocation:
- 2) Effective November 29, 1988.

The respondent was convicted on October 30, 1987 in a United States District Court in Indiana of conspiracy to commit murder, attempt to obstruct justice by attempted murder of a grand jury witness, attempted murder of a grand jury witness, use of inter state commerce for commission of murder by hire, and aiding and abetting. In a separate criminal proceeding, respondent entered a plea of guilty on April 18, 1988 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Indiana and was convicted of conspiracy to defraud the United States, postal fraud, assisting in preparation of false income tax returns, making false income tax declarations, and aiding and abetting.

The judgments of conviction filed by the Grievance Administrator in accordance with MCR 9.120 were consolidated for hearing with a matter then pending before a hearing panel based upon the respondent's adjudication of professional misconduct by the Disciplinary Commission of the Indiana Supreme Court. The Administrator submitted proof that respondent's license to practice in Indiana was suspended for two years effective July 26, 1982 on findings that he entered into a prohibited business relationship with a client; that he neglected a probate proceeding and neglected a probate estate, all in violation of Canons 5, 6 and 7 of the Code of Professional Responsibility, DR 5-104(A); DR 6-101(A)(3) and DR 7-101(A)(3).

Following entry of an order directing the respondent to show cause why a final order of discipline should not be entered, the hearing panel concluded that respondent's license to practice law in Michigan should be Costs were assessed in the amount of \$235.45.