

MEMBERS
PATRICK J. KEATING
CHAIRMAN
MARTIN M. DOCTOROFF
VICE CHAIRMAN
CHARLES C. VINCENT, M.D.
SECRETARY
REMONA A. GREEN
HANLEY M. GURWIN
ROBERT S. HARRISON
ODESSA KOMER

STATE OF MICHIGAN

Attorney Discipline Board



JOHN F. VAN BOLT
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR &
GENERAL COUNSEL

SUITE 1200
333 W. FORT STREET
DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226
Area Code 313 963-5553

NOTICE OF SUSPENSION

File Nos. DP 171/85; DP 5/86

Offie T. A. Rashed, P 33618, 13529 Memorial Street, Detroit, MI 48227 by the Attorney Discipline Board Dismissing Petition Review filed by Respondent and Affirming the Hearing Panel Order of Suspension.

- 1) Suspension - 120 days;
- 2) Effective September 11, 1986.

The Respondent, licensed to practice law in Michigan in 1982, was the subject of an Order of Probation entered by a Hearing Panel of the Attorney Discipline Board in March 1985. That Order required that the Respondent file monthly certifications by an attending physician concerning his continued treatment and that he pay certain costs incurred in that disciplinary proceeding.

On December 6, 1985, the Grievance Administrator filed a Formal Complaint in the instant case alleging that the Respondent had failed to comply with the provisions of the Order of Probation as they related to the filing of monthly certifications from a physician and the payment of costs. Count II of that Complaint alleged that the Respondent failed to Answer the Request for Investigation filed by the Grievance Administrator inquiring as to his non-compliance with the previous Order. Respondent's Default for failure to Answer that Complaint was filed January 28, 1986 together with a second Complaint charging that the failure to Answer constituted an additional act of misconduct.

The Respondent did not appear at the hearing and the allegations contained in the consolidated Complaints were deemed to be admitted.

The Respondent filed a timely Petition for Review of the Hearing Panel Order suspending his license to practice law for 120 days and the Respondent was ordered by the Attorney Discipline Board to file a brief setting forth the issues and legal authority to be argued and to appear before the Board on July 23, 1986 to show cause why the Hearing Panel Order should not be affirmed. The Respondent did not file a brief nor did he appear at the hearing. Upon the Motion of the Grievance Administrator, Respondent's Petition for Review was dismissed and the Hearing Panel Order of Suspension affirmed in all respects. Total costs have been assessed in the amount of \$123.85.


John F. VanBolt

Dated: SEP 13 1986