JOHN F. VAN BOLT EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR & GENERAL COUNSEL

PATRICK J. KEATING
CHAIRMAN
MARTIN M. DOCTOROFF
VICE CHAIRMAN
CHARLES C. VINCENT, M.D.
SCARTAY
REMONA A. GREEN
HANLEY M. GURWIN
ROBERT S. HARRISON

PATRICK J. KEATING
CHARLES C. VINCENT, M.D.
SCARTAY
ROBERT S. HARRISON

ODESSA KOMER

SUITE 1250 333 W. FORT STREET DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226 Area Code 313 963-5553

BOTICE OF REVOCATION

File No. DP 116/84

William J. Mosley, P 23417, 731 Virginia Park, Detroit, MI 48202 by the Attorney Discipline Board increasing a Hearing Panel Order of Suspension.

1) Revocation;

2) Effective June 12, 1985 (upon his conviction of a felony, Respondent was subject to an Automatic Interim Suspension from the date of conviction, April 23, 1984. A Notice of Automatic Suspension was issued July 5, 1984).

Following a bench trial upon stipulated facts in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, the Respondent was convicted of a felony of knowingly, willfully and unlawfully conspiring to commit fraud against a federally funded youth program in violation of 18:USC:371 & 3214. Respondent was sentenced to incarceration of fourteen (14) months, five (5) years probation and restitution in the amount of \$50,000.

In the criminal proceedings, Respondent admitted that for four years he was Chairman of the Board of Directors and legal counsel for the Afro Youth Community (AYC), a non-profit corporation in Chicago providing services for foster children. While Chairman of the Board of AYC, Respondent incorporated a leasing company which purchased existing AYC furniture and vehicles and then leased them back to AYC collecting rental payments which exceeded the purchase amount by about \$49,000.

Upon entry of the Judgment of Conviction, Respondent's license to practice law was automatically suspended and he was ordered to show cause to a hearing panel of the Attorney Discipline Board why a final order of discipline should not be entered.

In its review of the Hearing Panel Order imposing a Suspension of Two Years, the Attorney Discipline Board concluded that the Respondent was convicted of a crime of moral turpitude, which in the context of attorney discipline is "fraud, deceit, and intentional dishonesty for purposes of personal gain", Matter of Grimes, 414 Mich, 483; 326 NW2d 380, 383 (1982). The Board further found that disbarment was warranted in such cases for the reason that "such breaches of attorney/client trust violate our profession's pledge to seek truth and justice."

The Hearing Panel Order of Discipline, effective June 12, 1985, was increased to a Revocation. Costs were assessed in the amount of \$441.40.

By Order of the Michigan Supreme Court dated March 26, 1986, Respondent's Delayed Application for Leave to Appeal was denied without prejudice to further proceedings before the Attorney Discipline Board in the event that Respondent's criminal conviction is reversed on appeal.

John F. VanBolt

Da ted:

MAY 13 1986