

## Attorney Discipline Board



BOARD MEMBERS  
 JOHN L. COTE, CHAIRPERSON  
 LEO A. FARHAT  
 MSGR. CLEMENT H. KERN  
 DAVID BAKER LEWIS, SECRETARY  
 FRANK J. MCDEVITT, D. O.  
 WILLIAM G. REAMON  
 LYNN H. SHECTER,  
 VICE-CHAIRPERSON

MAILING ADDRESS:  
 P. O. BOX 149  
 DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48231

JOHN F. X. DWAIHY  
 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR &  
 GENERAL COUNSEL  
 SUITE 1260  
 333 W. FORT STREET  
 DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226  
 TELEPHONE: (313) 963-5553

NOTICE OF SUSPENSION

DP-11/83, DP-21/83,  
 DP-223/83, DP-249/83  
 DP-263/82

RONALD C. MARCHIONE (P 23550), 2614 Niles Ave., St. Joseph, MI 49085, by Attorney Discipline Board Kalamazoo County Hearing Panel #1. Pursuant to a stipulation between Respondent and the Grievance Administrator for a consent order of discipline based on a plea of nolo contendere.

- (1) Suspension;
- (2) For a period of two (2) years;
- (3) Suspension to run concurrent with a 180 day suspension in File DP-62/81, DP-136/81 and DP-165/81, EFFECTIVE April 7, 1983. (By RETROACTIVE order).

The Grievance Administrator and the Respondent entered into a stipulation for consent order of discipline pursuant to GCR 1963, 964.6(e) whereby Respondent admitted the allegations contained in five separate Formal Complaints; six other pending complaints were dismissed. Based on the stipulation, the hearing panel found that Respondent failed to answer four requests for investigation and four Formal Complaints served on Respondent by the Attorney Grievance Commission. It was also admitted that Respondent neglected a certain criminal appeal, failing to file a brief and failing to appear on behalf of the client resulting in revocation of the client's bond and issuance of a bench warrant for client's arrest, that Respondent appeared and requested reinstatement of the appeal promising to the Court that a brief would be filed forthwith and admitting that the lack of progress was due to Respondent's neglect, but thereafter Respondent failed to file said brief or take any further action, resulting in the client's incarceration. It was further admitted and found that Respondent failed to communicate the status of a civil suit to a client despite numerous requests of the client and misrepresented the status of the case indicating the trial date would be obtained as quickly as possible when Respondent knew or should have known that the suit had been dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. The panel found that the violations alleged had been established including violations of GCR 953(1-4), and Canons 1, 6 and 7 of the Code of Professional Responsibility, to-wit: DR1-102(A)(1)(4-6), DR6-101(A)(3) and DR7-101(A)(1-3). Costs were assessed in the amount of \$113.29.

*John F. X. Dwaihy*  
 JOHN F. X. DWAIHY, Executive Director  
 & General Counsel

*David Baker Lewis*  
 DAVID BAKER LEWIS, Secretary of the  
 Attorney Discipline Board

DATED: May 17, 1983