

BOARD MEMBERS FREDERICK G. BUESSER, JR. JOHN L. COTÉ, CHAIRPERSON MSGR. CLEMENT H. KERN DAVID BAKER LEWIS, SECRETARY FRANK J. MCDEVITT, D.O. WILLIAM G. REAMON LYNN H. SHECTER, VICE-CHAIRPERSON

JOHN F. X. DWAIHY COUNSEL/ADMINISTRATOR

SUITE 1260 333 W. FORT STREET DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226 TELEPHONE: (313) 963-5553

This is to inform the Courts of the State of Michigan of the following Order of Discipline:

NOTICE OF REPRIMAND

File No. 35269-A

LEE ROY H. TEMROWSKI (P21324), 20477 Van Dyke Avenue, Detroit, MI 48234, by Oakland Circuit Hearing Panel No. 1 of the former State Bar Grievance Board, affirmed by the Attorney Discipline Board and later by the Michigan Supreme Court, with Opinion, effective August 28, 1980.

Respondent was charged with taking custody of an automobile owned by the spouse of his divorce client, ostensibly for safekeeping and without consent to use said property. Respondent was charged with permitting the automobile to be repossessed after removing certain property/parts therefrom and converting the same to his own use despite demands for return of the property by the client and client's spouse. The Complaint charged violations of Canon 1, DR 1-102 (A) (1) and (4-6), Canon 2, DR 2-106 (A) (B), Canon 5, DR 5-104 (A) and Canon 9, DR 9-102 (B) (4).

The Hearing Panel found that Respondent anticipated an award of the vehicle to his client and entered into an oral contract for its purchase; the Panel rejected the client-complainant's contention to the contrary. Also, the Panel rejected the allegations of an excessive fee and accepted Respondent's claim of an attorney lien on the automobile. Furthermore, Respondent was exculpated of charges alleging dishonesty, fraud, deceit or misrepresentation. However, the Panel concluded that Respondent's conduct was violative of Canon 5, DR 5-104 (A) which limits business relations with a client adversely affecting professional judgment.

David Baker Lewis, Secretary ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD

September 15, 1980.