STATE OF MICHIGAN

Attorney Discipline Board

JOHN F. X. DWAIHY
COUNSEL/ADMINISTRATOR

SUITE 1260
333 W. FORT STREET
DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226
TELEPHONE: (313) 963-5553

This is to inform the Courts of the State of Michigan of the following Order of Discipline:

NOTICE OF SUSPENSION

File No. 36740-A

RONALD R. KUBIK (P26557), 15128 Plymouth Road, Detroit, MI 48227, by Attorney Discipline Board Wayne Circuit Hearing Panel #20.

(1) Suspension;

(2) For a period of six months;

(3) Effective December 20, 1979.

The discipline in this case was previously announced by an Interim Notice of Suspension pending the Grievance Administrator's appeal of the Hearing Panel decision of suspension of six months.

The Formal Complaint charged that Respondent entered a plea of guilty to the charges of delivery of heroin and delivery of cocaine in March, 1979, and was sentenced on April 4, 1979, to four years' probation and court costs of $400, said criminal acts being felonies punishable by imprisonment for a period of 20 years. The Disciplinary Complaint charged violations of GCR 953 (1-5) and 969 and Canon 1 of the Code of Professional Responsibility, to wit: DR 1-102 (A) (1) (3-6).

The Hearing Panel determined that Respondent was guilty of professional misconduct in violation of GCR 953 and 969, as well as Canon 1, DR 1-102 (3) (6). The Panel considered that Respondent, following the criminal convictions, had voluntarily withdrawn from the practice of law and has undergone intensive therapy for drug addiction. The Panel also considered a report of the Probation Department which was favorable to the Respondent and confirmed that Respondent was successfully pursuing a program of therapy for drug addiction which apparently began at age thirteen for Respondent. The record also contains information to the effect that Respondent was not a drug dealer and did not personally profit from the circumstances leading to his arrest and that Respondent graduated magna cum laude from law school at the age of 21 after undergoing an accelerated grammar school and undergraduate education. The record also contains the report of Respondent's psychotherapist who reports excellent progress in all categories for the former substance abuser. The Attorney Discipline Board, upon the Petition for Review of the Grievance Administrator, affirmed the decision of the Hearing Panel. The Supreme Court denied the Grievance Administrator's Application for Leave to Appeal.

David Baker Lewis

David Baker Lewis, Secretary
ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD

October 21, 1980.