

STATE OF MICHIGAN

Attorney Discipline Board



BOARD MEMBERS:

FREDERICK G. BUESSER, JR.
JOHN L. COTÉ, CHAIRPERSON
MSGR. CLEMENT H. KERN
DAVID BAKER LEWIS, SECRETARY
FRANK J. MCDEVITT, D.O.
WILLIAM G. REAMON
LYNN H. SHECTER,
VICE-CHAIRPERSON

333 W. FORT BUILDING
DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226
TELEPHONE: (313) 963-5553

JOHN F. X. DWAIHY
COUNSEL/ADMINISTRATOR

This is to inform the Courts of the State of Michigan of the following final Orders of Discipline:

ORDER OF DISBARMENT

36194-A

ROBERT J. MOSKAL (P18023), 5090 State Street, Building C, Saginaw, Michigan 48603, by the Eighth Congressional District Hearing Panel #1, effective January 3, 1979.

Respondent was charged with five separate counts of misconduct including allegations of: Clearly excessive fees; handling of a legal matter without adequate preparation; neglect of a legal matter entrusted to Respondent; comingling and conversion of client funds in excess of \$47,000.00; failure to notify a client of the receipt of funds belonging to said client; failure to promptly pay or deliver to a client funds requested by the client to which the client was entitled; negotiation of a loan with a client without required disclosures and advice; giving to a client a mortgage on real estate which had already been mortgaged without fully advising said client of the true facts and circumstances surrounding the transaction; withdrawal of funds entrusted to Respondent without authority of said client; remortgaging of real estate which had been mortgaged by Respondent to his client as security for a loan to Respondent in the amount of \$35,000.00 without so advising his client and without the giving of advice that the client's mortgage should be recorded for the protection of the client; a fraudulent conveyance of title to said secured property without notice to or the obtaining of a discharge of the client's mortgage.

The Hearing Panel concluded that the allegations in the complaint of the Grievance Administrator were proven by a preponderance of the evidence and that Respondent was in violation of the following disciplinary rules: Canon 2 DR 2-106 (A) (B), Canon 7 DR 7-101 (A) (2), Canon 1 DR 1-102 (A) (3) (6), Canon 9 DR 9-102 (B) (1) (4), Canon 5 DR 5-104 (A) and MCLA 750.272; the Panel further determined that Respondent violated Supreme Court Rule 15.2 (1) (2) (4) and (5).

David Baker Lewis
David Baker Lewis, Secretary
Attorney Discipline Board