MEMBERS LINDA S. HOTCHKISS, MD CHAIRPERSON ALAN GERSHEL VICE-CHAIRPERSON REV. DR. LOUIS J. PRUES SECRETARY PETER A. SMIT LINDA M. ORLANS JASON M. TURKISH ANDREAS SIDIROPOULOS, MD KATIE STANLEY TISH VINCENT

STATE OF MICHIGAN ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD

MARK A. ARMITAGE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

WENDY A. NEELEY DEPUTY DIRECTOR

KAREN M. DALEY ASSOCIATE COUNSEL

SHERRY MIFSUD OFFICE ADMINISTRATOR

OWEN R. MONTGOMERY CASE MANAGER

JULIE M. LOISELLE RECEPTIONIST/SECRETARY

www.adbmich.org



333 WEST FORT STREET, SUITE 1700 DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226-3147 PHONE: 313-963-5553

NOTICE OF SUSPENSION

Case No. 22-54-GA

Notice Issued: February 15, 2023

Paul Bukowski, P 72658, Clinton Township, Michigan, by the Attorney Discipline Board Tri-County Hearing Panel #101.

Suspension - 179 Days, Effective February 11, 2023

Respondent and the Grievance Administrator filed a Stipulation Regarding Misconduct and Sanctions in which respondent admitted the factual allegations and allegations of professional misconduct set forth in the formal complaint in its entirety and the parties' agreed that misconduct was established. The parties further agreed that the hearing would only relate to the appropriate sanction for the established misconduct.

Based on the parties' stipulation, the panel found the following: At all times relevant, respondent was employed by the then Macomb County Prosecutor, Eric J. Smith, as an Assistant Macomb County Prosecuting Attorney. Respondent received several promotions between 2011 and 2015. Beginning in June 2019 he served as chief of the district court unit, supervising 12 assistant prosecutors. Respondent operated a campaign fund known as Campaign to Elect Eric J. Smith from 2012 to 2019. In late August or early September 2016, Smith approached respondent under the pretense of hiring him as consultant for Smith's potential run for county executive in 2020. In a subsequent conversion, Smith told respondent that he would give respondent a check for \$20,000, that he wanted respondent to return \$15,000 of the money to him, and that he would allow respondent to keep \$5,000 as a purported consulting fee. In that conversation or another one, Smith told respondent that he needed money for a pool that was costing him \$40,000. Respondent suspected that Smith was converting money from his campaign funds for his personal use, which was illegal. Smith gave respondent a check dated September 6, 2016, for \$20,000 from his campaign fund account made payable to respondent. Respondent endorsed the check and deposited it into his personal checking account on around September 8, 2016. On September 9, 2016, respondent withdrew \$15,000 in cash from his account with the intention of giving it to Smith, as Smith had requested, and on September 9, 2016, respondent handed Smith an envelope containing \$15,000 in cash. Respondent retained the \$5,000 balance of the \$20,000 check as purported future consulting work. After September 2016, Smith asked respondent to lie to the authorities about what had occurred regarding the transaction, but respondent refused to do so. Respondent was not charged with a crime arising out of the transaction.

Based upon respondent's admissions and the evidence adduced at the hearing, the panel found that respondent engaged in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, misrepresentation, or violation of the criminal law, where such conduct reflects adversely on the lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness, or fitness as a lawyer, in violation of MRPC 8.4(b); engaged in conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice, in violation of MCR 9.104(1) and MRPC 8.4(c); engaged in conduct that exposes the legal profession or the courts to obloquy, contempt, censure, or reproach, in violation of MCR 9.104(2); and engaged in conduct contrary to justice, ethics, honesty, or good morals, in violation of MCR 9.104(3).

The panel ordered that respondent's license to practice law be suspended for a period of 179 days. Costs were assessed in the amount of \$2,429.00.