MEMBERS LINDA S. HOTCHKISS, MD CHAIRPERSON ALAN GERSHEL

VICE-CHAIRPERSON

REV. DR. LOUIS J. PRUES SECRETARY

PETER A. SMIT LINDA M. ORLANS JASON M. TURKISH ANDREAS SIDIROPOULOS, MD **KATIE STANLEY TISH VINCENT**

STATE OF MICHIGAN

ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD



333 WEST FORT STREET, SUITE 1700 DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226-3147 PHONE: 313-963-5553

MARK A. ARMITAGE **EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR**

WENDY A. NEELEY DEPUTY DIRECTOR

KAREN M. DALEY ASSOCIATE COUNSEL

SHERRY MIFSUD OFFICE ADMINISTRATOR

OWEN R. MONTGOMERY CASE MANAGER

JULIE M. LOISELLE RECEPTIONIST/SECRETARY

www.adbmich.org

NOTICE OF REPRIMAND WITH CONDITIONS (By Consent)

Case Nos. 22-4-JC; 22-5-GA

Notice Issued: March 31, 2023

Elana H. Gloetzner, P 62997, Novi, Michigan, by the Attorney Discipline Board Tri-County Hearing Panel #72

Reprimand, effective March 29, 2023

Respondent and the Grievance Administrator filed an Amended Stipulation for Consent Order of Discipline, pursuant to MCR 9.115(F)(5), that was approved by the Attorney Grievance Commission and accepted by the hearing panel. The stipulation contained respondent's admissions that she was convicted on July 13, 2017, by no contest plea, of Disorderly Person/Drunk/Intoxicated, a misdemeanor, in violation of MCL/PACC Code 750.1671E, in a matter titled People v Elana Hope Gloetzner, 52-1 Judicial District Court, Case No. 17-000120-SM; and on June 7, 2019, by no contest plea, of Operating While Intoxicated/Impaired - Second Offense, in violation of MCL/PACC Code 257.625B, in a matter tilted People v Elana Hope Gloetzner, 35th District Court, Case No. 18N838. Respondent also admitted that she did not give notice of either conviction to the Grievance Administrator and the Attorney Discipline Board, as set forth in the combined Notice of Filing of Judgments of Conviction and Formal Complaint filed by the Grievance Administrator.

Based on respondent's admissions and the stipulation of the parties, the panel found that respondent engaged in conduct that violated a criminal law of a state or of the United States, an ordinance, or tribal law pursuant to MCR 2.615, in violation of MCR 9.104(5). The panel also found that respondent failed notify the Grievance Administrator and Attorney Discipline Board of her convictions, in violation of MCR 9.120(A)(1).

In accordance with the stipulation of the parties, the hearing panel ordered that respondent be reprimanded and subject to conditions relevant to the established misconduct. Costs were assessed in the amount of \$962.62.