

MEMBERS  
**LINDA S. HOTCHKISS, MD**  
CHAIRPERSON  
**ALAN GERSHEL**  
VICE-CHAIRPERSON  
**REV. DR. LOUIS J. PRUES**  
SECRETARY  
**PETER A. SMIT**  
**LINDA M. ORLANS**  
**JASON M. TURKISH**  
**ANDREAS SIDIROPOULOS, MD**  
**KATIE STANLEY**  
**TISH VINCENT**

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
**ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD**



333 WEST FORT STREET, SUITE 1700  
DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226-3147  
PHONE: 313-963-5553

**MARK A. ARMITAGE**  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR  
—  
**WENDY A. NEELEY**  
DEPUTY DIRECTOR  
—  
**KAREN M. DALEY**  
ASSOCIATE COUNSEL  
—  
**SHERRY MIFSUD**  
OFFICE ADMINISTRATOR  
—  
**OWEN R. MONTGOMERY**  
CASE MANAGER  
—  
**JULIE M. LOISELLE**  
RECEPTIONIST/SECRETARY  
—

[www.adbmich.org](http://www.adbmich.org)

**NOTICE OF DISBARMENT AND RESTITUTION WITH CONDITIONS**

Case No. 22-31-GA

**Notice Issued: April 6, 2023**

Kimberly Shea Grzic, P 79927, Howell, Michigan, by the Attorney Discipline Board Ingham County Hearing Panel #3

Disbarment, Effective April 4, 2023<sup>1</sup>

After proceedings conducted pursuant to MCR 9.115, the panel found, by default, that respondent committed professional misconduct in her handling of five different legal matters and as charged in a six-count formal complaint. The legal matters included a driver's license restoration matter, two child custody matters, a contract and fee dispute matter, and a post-divorce judgment matter. Respondent also failed to timely respond to a request for investigation and failed to answer four others.

Based on respondent's default and the evidence presented at the hearing, the panel found that respondent neglected a legal matter entrusted to her, in violation of MRPC 1.1(c) (Counts One-Five); failed to seek the lawful objectives of the client, in violation of MRPC 1.2(a) (Counts One-Five); failed to act with reasonable diligence and promptness in representing a client, in violation of MRPC 1.3 (Counts One-Five); failed to keep a client reasonably informed about the status of the matter and to comply with reasonable requests for information, in violation of MRPC 1.4(a) (Counts One-Five); failed to explain a matter to the extent reasonably necessary to permit the client to make informed decisions about the representation, in violation of MRPC 1.4(b) (Counts One-Five); failed to return property to which the client is entitled, in violation of MRPC 1.16(d) (Count Two); failed to refund an unearned fee, in violation of MRPC 1.16(d) (Count Five); engaged in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, misrepresentation or violation of criminal law, in violation of MRPC 8.4(b) (Counts One, Three, and Four); knowingly failed to respond to a lawful demand for information from a disciplinary authority, in violation of MRPC 8.1(a)(2) (Count Six); and, failed to timely answer a Request for Investigation, in violation of MRPC 9.104(7) and MCR 9.113(A)(2) and MCR 9.113(B)(2) (Count Six). Respondent was also found to have violated MRPC 8.4(a) and (c); and, MCR 9.104(1)-(3). (Counts One-Six).

The panel ordered that respondent be disbarred, that she pay restitution in the total amount of \$3,660.00, and be subject to conditions relevant to the established misconduct. Costs were assessed in the amount of \$2,010.45.

---

<sup>1</sup> Respondent's license to practice law in Michigan has been continuously suspended since November 8, 2022. See, Notice of Interim Suspension Pursuant to MCR 9.115(H)(1), issued November 10, 2022.