## NOTICE OF SUSPENSION AND RESTITUTION

Case No. 23-17-GA

Notice Issued: November 16, 2023

Adam C. Reddick, P 71543, Bay City, Michigan, by the Attorney Discipline Board Tri-Valley Hearing Panel #1

Suspension - 1 Year, Effective November 3, 2023<sup>1</sup>

After proceedings conducted pursuant to MCR 9.115, the panel found, by default, that respondent committed professional misconduct during his representation of a client in a driver's license reinstatement and a driving while license suspended (DWLS) case. Furthermore, despite the fact that respondent's license to practice law was suspended in two unrelated disciplinary matters and that he has remained suspended continuously since October 25, 2019, respondent remained the attorney of record on both the driver's license reinstatement and DWLS case. The panel also found that respondent failed to answer a request for investigation served on him by the Grievance Administrator.

Based on respondent's default, the hearing panel found that respondent neglected a legal matter entrusted to the lawyer, in violation of MRPC 1.1(c) [Count One]; failed to seek the lawful objectives of a client, in violation of MRPC 1.2(a) [Count One]; failed to act with reasonable diligence and promptness in representing a client, in violation of MRPC 1.3 [Count One]; failed to keep a client reasonably informed about the status of a matter and comply promptly with reasonable requests for information, in violation of MRPC 1.4 [Count One]; failed to notify an active client, in writing, by registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, of his suspension, in violation of MCR 9.119(A) [Count One]; failed to file with the tribunal and all parties a notice of the attorney's disqualification from the practice of law, in violation of MCR 9.119(B) [Count One]; failed to answer a Request for Investigation in conformity with MCR 9.113(A) and (B)(2), in violation of MCR 9.104(7) [Count Two]; knowingly failed to respond to a lawful demand for information from a disciplinary authority, in violation of MRPC 8.1(a)(2) [Count Two]; engaged in conduct that is a violation of the Michigan Rules of Professional Conduct, in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Respondent has been continuously suspended from the practice of law since October 25, 2019. See Notice of Suspension With Conditions, *Grievance Administrator v Adam C. Reddick*, Case No. 19-24-GA, issued October 31, 2019.

violation of MRPC 8.4(a) and MCR 9.104(A)(4) [Counts One and Two]; engaged in conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice, in violation of MCR 9.104(1) and MRPC 8.4(c) [Count One]; engaged in conduct that exposes the legal profession or the courts to obloquy, contempt, censure, or reproach, in violation of MCR 9.104(2) [Counts One and Two]; engaged in conduct that is contrary to justice, ethics, honesty, or good morals, in violation of MCR 9.104(3) [Counts One and Two]; and violated an order of discipline, in violation of MCR 9.104(9) [Count Two].

The panel ordered that respondent's license to practice law be suspended for one year, effective November 3, 2023, and that respondent pay restitution totaling \$1,500.00. Costs were assessed in the amount of \$1,796.48.