


MEMBERS  
LOUANN VAN DER WIELE  
CHAIRPERSON  
REV. MICHAEL MURRAY  
VICE-CHAIRPERSON  
DULCE M. FULLER  
SECRETARY  
JAMES A. FINK  
JOHN W. INHULSEN  
JONATHAN E. LAUDERBACH  
BARBARA WILLIAMS FORNEY  
KAREN D. O'DONOGHUE  
MICHAEL B. RIZIK, JR.

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD



211 WEST FORT STREET, SUITE 1410  
DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226-3236  
PHONE: 313-963-5553 | FAX: 313-963-5571

MARK A. ARMITAGE  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR  
—  
WENDY A. NEELEY  
DEPUTY DIRECTOR  
—  
SHERRY L. MIFSUD  
OFFICE ADMINISTRATOR  
—  
ALLYSON M. PLOURDE  
CASE MANAGER  
—  
OWEN R. MONTGOMERY  
CASE MANAGER  
—  
JULIE M. LOISELLE  
RECEPTIONIST/SECRETARY  
—  
—  
www.adbmich.org

**AMENDED NOTICE OF SUSPENSION (As to Effective Date)**  
**(Pending Review)**

Case No. 15-29-GA

**Notice Issued: October 28, 2016**

Richard A. Meier, P 38204, Novi, Michigan, by the Attorney Discipline Board Tri-County Hearing Panel #80.

1. Suspension - Two Years
2. Effective October 20, 2016

Respondent filed an answer to the formal complaint and appeared at the hearing. Based on the evidence presented by the parties at the hearings held in this matter, the hearing panel found that respondent committed professional misconduct in his representation of three separate clients pursuing claims against their respective employers.

The panel found that respondent handled two legal matters without preparation adequate in the circumstances, in violation of MRPC 1.1(b); neglected three legal matters, in violation of MRPC 1.1(c); failed to act with reasonable diligence and promptness on behalf of three separate clients, in violation of MRPC 1.3; failed to keep three clients reasonably informed as to the status of their legal matters and respond promptly to reasonable requests for information, in violation of MRPC 1.4(a); failed to explain a legal matter to three separate clients to the extent necessary for the client to make informed decisions, in violation of MRPC 1.4(b); and failed to respond to a legally proper discovery request in pretrial procedure in one matter, in violation of MRPC 3.4(d). Respondent was also found to have violated MCR 9.104(2) and (3) and MRPC 8.4(b).

The panel ordered that respondent's license to practice law in Michigan be suspended for two years. The respondent filed a petition for review and a petition for stay on September 27, 2016. Respondent's request for a stay pending review was granted on an interim basis pending further consideration by the Board on October 7, 2016. After further consideration, the Board denied respondent's request for stay on October 13, 2016, and the order of suspension became effective October 20, 2016. The review hearing in this matter is scheduled for December 14, 2016.

Mark A. Armitage

Dated: October 28, 2016